

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box. 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/748,848 12/28/2000		12/28/2000	Maged E. Beshai	9-13528-55US	6090		
20988	7590	08/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
OGILVY R	ENAUL	Т	PHUNKUL	PHUNKULH, BOB A			
		EGE AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SUITE 1600			AKI UNII	PAPER NUMBER			
MONTREAL	L, QC H	I3A2Y3	2661	ጓ			
CANADA							
				DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/748,84	8	BESHAI, MAGED E.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Bob A. Phu	ınkulh	2661					
- The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date If the period for reply specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended per Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFI	OMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period v triod for reply will, by statute tree months after the mailing	36(a). In no eve y within the statu will apply and will , cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on 28 De	ecember 20	000.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .									
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4a) Of the above claim(s) _ 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allow 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-11,13,18,19 and</u> 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>12, 14-17, 20-21,</u>	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-11,13,18,19 and 22-32 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 12, 14-17, 20-21, 33-34 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers				•					
9) ☐ The specification is objected 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 L. Applicant may not request that Replacement drawing sheet(s 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is o	<u>December 2000</u> is/a t any objection to the ) including the correct	re: a)⊠ ac drawing(s) bo ion is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ N  1.⊠ Certified copies of the	one of: e priority documents e priority documents d copies of the prior International Bureau	s have beer s have beer rity docume u (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)			i.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		)-152)				

Art Unit: 2661

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 8-11, 13, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cotton et al. (US 5,623,489), hereinafter Cotton.

Regarding claim 1, Cotton discloses a high capacity distributed packet switch comprising:

- a) a plurality of edge modules (modules 20, see figure 1), each edge module including at least three input/output ports, the at least three input/output ports being organized in a group of J dual ports, a group of K dual ports and a group of L dual ports; wherein
- b) the group of J dual ports is connected by communication links to a single regional core center (ports connected to switch 30, see figure 1);
- c) the group of L dual ports is connected by communications links to a plurality of global core centers (central office 14, figure 1); and
- d) the group of K dual ports is connected by communications links to data traffic sources and data traffic sinks (see tables shown in col. 5 and 6 for possible assignment).

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claim 2, Cotton discloses the regional core center comprises a number of spatially distributed regional core modules (switch planes, see figure 1).

Regarding claim 3, Cotton inherently discloses each of the plurality of global core centers comprises spatially distributed global core modules (central office 14 should have the same structure as the switch planes 30, see figure 1).

Regarding claim 4, Cotton discloses each of the regional core modules comprises a plurality of parallel memory-less switches (each switch plane 30 comprises a plurality of parallel memory less switches 26, 28; see figure 1).

Regarding claim 5, Cotton inherently discloses each of the global core modules comprises a plurality of parallel memory-less switches (central office 14 should have the same structure as the switch planes 30, see figure 1).

Regarding claim 8, Cotton discloses the plurality of edge modules are divided into groups, each group defining a region, and said group of J dual-ports of each edge module belonging to a one of the groups is connected exclusively to a respective regional core center (see col. 4 line 54 to col. 5 line 15).

Regarding claim 9, Cotton discloses the L dual ports of said group of L dual ports of each edge module in a group of edge modules are connected directly to selected

Art Unit: 2661

ones of the global core modules (terminal unit 20 is directly connect to the central office 14, see figure 1).

Regarding claim 10, Cotton discloses the dual ports of said group of L dual ports of two or more of the edge modules in a group of edge modules are respectively connected to two or more of the global core modules via a memory-less shuffle stage (via interface 22, see figure 1).

Regarding claim 11, Cotton discloses the dual ports of said group of L dual ports of two or more of the edge modules in a group of edge modules are respectively connected to two or more of the global core modules via a memory-less cross-connector (via interface 22, see figure 1).

Regarding claim 13, Cotton discloses a path between any two edge modules (module in central office 14 and the module in switch plane) in a route passes through at most one adaptive channel-switching module (a route passes through at most one module i.e. interface 20, see figure 1).

Regarding claim 31, Cotton inherently discloses the global core modules all comprise static cross-connectors (central office 14 should have the same structure as the switch planes 30, see figure 1).

Art Unit: 2661

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 6-7, 18-19, 22-30, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cotton in view of Lee et al. (US 6538784), hereinafter Lee.

Regarding claims 3, 6-7, 23-25, Cotton fails to disclose the plurality of parallel memory-less switches is an optical space switch; and the communication links are optical links that support wavelength multiplexed data channels.

Lee, on the other hand, discloses it is well known in the art to provides a plurality of optical space switches and a plurality of W-MUXs to a conventional optical cross-connect (see figure 2 and col. 1 lines 55-62).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to provide the space switches and W-MUXs of Lee in the Cotton's modules for providing Cotton's system with ability to communication with conventional optical network.

Regarding claims 18-19, 22, 26-30, 32, the combination of Cotton-Lee discloses providing space switches to the modules (see claims 6-7 rejection above). However, the combination of Cotton-Lee fail to explicitly disclose providing different number of

Art Unit: 2661

space switches to the regional or global core modules or reconfiguring the global or regional modules in response to data traffic loads.

Page 6

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to provides different number of space switches to the regional or global core modules based on user's need i.e. a global core module will requires more space switches since it usually supports more traffic data than the regional core module.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12, 14-17, 20-21, 33-34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### **Conclusion**

### Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

### Or:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2661

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to **Bob A. Phunkulh** whose telephone number is (703)

**308-8251.** The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 A.M. to

4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor Douglas W. Olms, can be reach on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number

for this group is (703) 872-9314.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Bob A. Phunkulh** 

TC 2600

Art Unit 2661

August 18, 2004

BOB PHUNKULH PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 7